(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

W. P. NO. 4662 OF 2005

PETITIONER

Naresh Kumar Dhruvwanshi, S/o Gopal Prasad Dhruvwanshi aged about 45 Years, Occupation– Service, Assistant District Prosecution Officer, Nyayalaya Parisar, Ramanuj-Ganj, District Surguja (CG) & R/o Bus Stand, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 4707/05
Presented by Shri Amrita Das
dated 12/9/05

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1. Commissioner, Adim Jati tatha Anusuchit Jati Vikas, Ravi Shankar Vishwavidyalaya Parisar, Raipur [Chhattisgarh]

2. Jati Praman Patra Uchha Stariya Chhanbin Samiti, Through its Secretary, Ravi Shankar Vishwavidyalaya Parisar, Raipur [Chhattisgarh]

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

The petitioner, above-named, most respectfully submits as under:

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:**

As mentioned in the Cause Title above.

2. **PARTICULARS OF+THE RESPONDENTS:**

As mentioned in the Cause Title above.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(42)

मामला क्रमांक WP 4662 सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03.01.2007

Shri Ashish Shrivastava, learned counsel with Shri Amrito Das, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, learned G.A. for the State/respondents.

The petitioner has filed this petition against the enquiry initiated by and pending before the State level committee, respondent No. 2, which is conducting enquiry regarding caste certificate of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of this petition the enquiry has already been completed and final order dated 17.7.2006 has been passed. The petitioner has already filed W.P.No. 6135/2006 against the final order dated 17.7.2006 and this petition has become infructuous. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the State/respondents.

In view of above submissions, the instant petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.